2 were different anomeric mixtures, they were hydrolyzed into their corresponding  $C_3$  monoacetates.<sup>14</sup> Both synthetic and naturally derived substances proved to be the same 3.7:1 anomeric mixture and, finally, exhibited the same properties:  $[\alpha]_D - 9.8^\circ$  $(c 1.43, CH_2Cl_2)$  natural series,  $[\alpha]_D - 9.7^\circ$   $(c 0.51, CH_2Cl_2)$ synthetic series.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. G. R. Bebernitz for the preparation of some intermediates leading to compound 7. We thank Dr. Ashit Ganguly (Schering Corp.) for a generous sample of rosaramicin. Financial support from the NIH and the Merck Corp. are gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental procedures including NMR, IR, and mass spectral data (71 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(15) Both synthetic and naturally derived 2 were crystallized from ethyl acetate/hexane. A mixed melting point of these materials was undepressed.

## Synthesis of a New Type of Metal Dithiolene Complex via an Induced Reaction of Acetylenes with a Ruthenium Sulfide

Thomas B. Rauchfuss,\* Damian P. S. Rodgers, and Scott R. Wilson

> School of Chemical Sciences University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Urbana, Illinois 61801 Received December 23, 1985

The reactions of unsaturated organic compounds with naked main-group ligands is an active area of coordination chemistry.<sup>1</sup> The present contribution to this field involves the chemically induced reaction of a soluble ruthenium sulfide with acetylenes. This project has resulted in the characterization of the simplest ruthenium sulfido complex and a unique bonding mode for a 1,2-alkene disulfide (dithiolene) ligand.

Our starting material was  $Cp_2^*Ru_2S_4$  (1,  $Cp^* = \eta^5 \cdot C_5Me_4Et$ ), a highly soluble, air-stable, intensely blue compound.<sup>2</sup> Compound 1 was prepared in ca. 15% yield from the reaction of 3.02 g of  $Cp_{2}^{*}Ru_{2}(CO)_{4}^{3}$  and 0.62 g of S<sub>8</sub> in 125 mL of boiling toluene for 18 h. The crude product was flash chromatographed on silica gel (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) and crystallized from cold hexane. An X-ray diffraction study showed that 1 is properly formulated as  $Cp*_2Ru_2(\mu,\eta^2-S_2)(\mu,\eta^1-S_2)$  comparable to the recently reported iron analogues.<sup>4</sup> Whereas the Ru-S distances are normal for the  $\mu, \eta^2$ -S<sub>2</sub>, the Ru-S distances for the parallel ( $\mu, \eta^1$ ) S<sub>2</sub> are quite

3, 831. Bolinger, C. M.; Rauchluss, I. B.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1983**, 103, 6321. (c) Phosphinidine reactions: Lunnis, J.; MacLaughlin, S. A.; Taylor, N. J.; Carty, A. J.; Sappa, E. Organometallics **1985**, 4, 2066. Marinetti, A.; Mathey, F. Organometallics **1984**, 3, 456. (2) Anal C, H, S. FABMS (m/z, <sup>102</sup>Ru) 630 (P<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (δ in ppm, J in Hz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 2.23 (q, 4 H, 7.3), 1.92 (s, 12 H), 1.87 (s, 12 H), 1.10 (t, 6 H, 7.4). Compound 1 crystallized from hexane in the space group PI, with cell dimensions a = 18.386 (4) Å, b = 18.868 (4) Å, c = 8.564 (3) Å, α = 98.64 (2)°, β = 91.12 (2)°, γ = 117.48 (2)°, V = 2592 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4, ρ<sub>exp</sub> = 1.60 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, for ±h,±k,+l in the range 3.0° < 2θ < 46°. These data were averaged to (R) = 0.018). The structure 7256 independent reflections was averaged to  $(R_{av} = 0.018)$ . The structure 7256 independent reflections was solved by direct methods (SHELX), refined with use of 4377 intensities  $(I > 2.58 \sigma (I))$  to R = 0.053 and  $R_w = 0.067$ . (3) Bailey, N. A.; Radford, S. L.; Sanderson, J. A.; Tabatabaian, K.; White, C.; Worthington, J. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 154, 343.

(4) Chenaud, H.; Ducourant, A. M.; Giannotti, C. J. Organomet. Chem. **1980**, 190, 201. Weberg, R.; Haltiwanger, R. C.; Rakowski DuBois, M. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1315. Brunner, H.; Janietz, N.; Meier, W.; Sergeson, G.; Wachter, J.; Zahn, T.; Ziegler, M. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 1060.



Figure 1. ORTEP of the  $(C_5Me_4Et)_2Ru_2S_4$  molecule (1). Representative distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)-S(1), 2.195 (4); Ru(1)-S(3), 2.382 (4);  $\hat{S}(1)-S(2)$ , 2.020 (5);  $\hat{S}(3)-\hat{S}(4)$ , 2.050 (4);  $\hat{Ru}(1)-\hat{S}(1)-\hat{S}(2)$ , 112.9 (2); Ru(1)-S(3)-Ru(2); 104.1 (1); Ru(1)-S(3)-S(4), 64.9 (1). The S···S distances between the two  $S_2$  subunits are 3.39-3.42 Å.



Figure 2. ORTEP of the  $(C_5Me_4Et)_2Ru_2S_2C_2Ph_2$  molecule (3). Representative distances (Å) and angles (deg): Ru-Ru, 2.980 (1); Ru(1)-S(1), 2.253 (3); Ru(2)-S(1), 2.428 (3); Ru-Si(1)-Ru, 79.00 (8); Ru(1)-S-(1)-C(29), 109.5 (3); Ru(2)-S(1)-C(29), 60.7 (3).

short at 2.20 Å and indicate multiple bonding<sup>5</sup> between the ruthenium centers and this disulfur ligand.

A compound tentatively identified as  $Cp_4Ru_4S_6$  (2) was also isolated in ca. 20% yield in the synthesis of  $1.^6$  Compound 2 is

## 3114

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Oxide reactions: Groves, J. T.; Watanabe, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 507. Collman, J. P.; Kodadek, T.; Raybuck, S. A., Brauman, J. T.; Papazian, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 108, 507. Herrmann, W. A.; Serrano, R.; Küsthardt, U.; Ziegler, M. L.; Guggolz, E., Zahn, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 515. (b) Sulfide reactions: Adams, R. D.; Wang, S. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1902. Rajan, O. A.; McKenna, M.; Nardik, L.; Haltiwarar, P. C. Bakawaki, D. Bai, M. Caramantellics 1984 Noordik, J.; Haltiwanger, R. C., Rakowski DuBois, M. Organometallics 1984, 3, 831. Bolinger, C. M.; Rauchfuss, T. B.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem.

<sup>(5)</sup> Millar, M. M.; O'Sullivan, T.; de Vries, N.; Koch, S. A. J. Am. Chem.

<sup>(5)</sup> Millar, M. M.; O Sullivan, I.; ut vrites, N., Roch, S. A. J. Ann. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 3714. (6) Anal. C, H, S. FDMS  $(m/z, {}^{102}\text{Ru})$  1196 (P<sup>+</sup>), 1164 (P<sup>+</sup> - S), 1132 (P<sup>+</sup> - 2S), 1047 (P<sup>+</sup> - Cp<sup>+</sup>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (see ref 2, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) 2.45 (q, 2 H, 7.5), 2.31 (q, 4 H, 7.7), 2.11 (m, 8 H), 2.05 (s, 6 H), 1.78 (s, 6 H), 1.77 (s, 6 H), 1.69 (s, 6 H), 1.64 (s, 6 H), 1.63 (s, 6 H), 1.60 (s, 6 H), 1.24 (t, 3 H, 7.60), 0.09 (t, 6 H, 7.4), 0.09 (t, 3 H, 7.6) 0.98 (t, 6 H, 7.4), 0.89 (t, 3 H, 7.6).

formed in similar yield when toluene solutions of 1 are treated with 1 equiv of tri-n-butylphosphine (PBu<sub>3</sub>) at 70 °C for 2 h followed by evaporation and trituration with aqueous methanol. This unusual species can be easily crystallized from cold hexane. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2 shows three nonequivalent Cp\* ligands (1:1:2) and its structure is suggested to resemble that for  $Cp_4Fe_4S_2(S_2)_2$ .<sup>7</sup> The conversion of 1 into a larger cluster is reminiscent of our previous observation that  $(MeCp)_2V_2S_4$  reacts with PBu<sub>3</sub> to give  $(MeCp)_4V_4S_4$ .<sup>8</sup>

When toluene solutions of 1 are treated with PBu<sub>3</sub> (2 equiv) in the presence of diphenylacetylene (1 equiv, 70 °C, 2 h) one obtains, after solvent evaporation and trituration with methanol,  $Cp_{2}^{*}Ru_{2}S_{2}C_{2}Ph_{2}$  (3) as red brown crystals from pentane in ca. 70% yield (eq 1).<sup>9</sup> It is important to note that compound 1 does

$$Cp*_{2}Ru_{2}S_{4} + R_{2}C_{2} + 2PBu_{3} \rightarrow Cp*_{2}Ru_{2}S_{2}C_{2}R_{2} + 2SPBu_{3}$$
(1)

$$R_2 = Ph_2$$
 (3), PhH,  $H_2$ 

not react with Ph<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub> (2 equiv, 70 °C, 2 weeks). Furthermore compound 2 is not an intermediate in the dithiolene synthesis since it can be recovered in good yield after attempted reaction with  $Ph_2C_2$  (2 equiv), alone or in the presence of  $PBu_3$  (2 equiv). The dithiolene synthesis also works well for acetylene and phenylacetylene.<sup>10</sup> The reaction of 1, PBu<sub>3</sub> (l equiv), and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> (2 atm) gave ~25% yield of Cp\*<sub>2</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>;<sup>10</sup> chromatographic workup of the products returned  $\sim 35\%$  yield of 1.

An X-ray diffraction study shows that compound 3 is a square-pyramidal, nido cluster (Figure 2).11 The most distinctive structural feature of 3 is the bridging dithiolene ligand which is folded over so as to bind to one metal in an  $\eta^4$  manner. The dithiolene and the Cp\* ring carbon atoms are nearly equidistant  $(\pm 0.02 \text{ Å})$  from Ru(2). Bridging 1,2-dithiolene ligands are common<sup>12</sup> but the present  $\mu$ - $\eta^2$ , $\eta^4$  form is unique.<sup>13</sup> Structurally, 3 is related to certain diazabutadiene complexes, e.g.,  $Mn(_2(\mu - \eta^2, \eta^4-CH_3NCHCHNCH_3)(CO)_6, ^{14}$  and to the binuclear ferroles  $Fe_2(C_4R_4)(CO)_6, ^{15}$  If the  $\mu - \eta^2, \eta^4 - R_2C_2S_2$  ligand is viewed as a neutral 8e<sup>-</sup> donor, 3 is seen to be an electron-precise 34e<sup>-</sup> species. The Ru-Ru distance in 3 is 2.980 (1) Å whereas the Ru-Ru distance in 1 is nonbonding at 3.749 (1) Å.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3 consists of four methyl singlets and two methyl triplets showing that the C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>4</sub>Et ligands are nonequivalent but suggesting that both lie on a plane of symmetry. At 150 °C in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>5</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> solution, the separation of the closer pair of methyl singlets in its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum ( $\Delta \delta = 5.5$  Hz at 40 °C) narrows to 1.5 Hz. Therefore  $\Delta G^*$  for the equivalencing of the two Ru centers is somewhat greater than 95 kJ/mol. We propose that a dynamic equilibrium of the type shown in eq 2 is



involved. Conceivably, related equilibria but with different energy minima apply to other dithiolene bridged complexes.

The mechanism of the conversion of 1 into 3 and the generality of the structural motif illustrated by 3 are of further interest.

Acknowledgment. This research was funded by the National Science Foundation and, in part, the Illinois Coal Research Board. We also acknowledge the support of the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society. T.B.R. is grateful to the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation for a Teacher-Scholar Fellowship.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of bond distances, bond angles, thermal parameters, fractional coordinates, and structure factor tables for  $Cp_2Ru_2S_2C_2Ph_2$  and  $Cp_2Ru_2S_4$  (43) pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

## Selective Deoxygenation of Secondary Alcohols by Photosensitized Electron-Transfer Reaction. A General Procedure for Deoxygenation of Ribonucleosides<sup>1</sup>

Isao Saito,\* Hideyuki Ikehira, Ryuichiro Kasatani, Masakazu Watanabe, and Teruo Matsuura

> Department of Synthetic Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan Received December 23, 1985

While photosensitized electron-transfer reactions are currently attracting considerable mechanistic interest in organic photochemistry,<sup>2</sup> synthetic methodology based on these reactions has been rather limited.<sup>3</sup> We report a general and practically useful

0002-7863/86/1508-3115\$01.50/0 © 1986 American Chemical Society

<sup>(7)</sup> Kubas, G. J.; Vergamini, P. J. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 2667. Also see: Dupre, N.; Hendriks, H. M. J.; Jordanov, J.; Gaillard, J.; Auric, P. Organometallics 1984, 3, 800.

<sup>(8)</sup> Bolinger, C. M. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1984.

<sup>(9)</sup> Anal C, H, S. FABMS (m/z) 744 (P<sup>+</sup>), 566 (P<sup>+</sup> – Ph<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) 7.33 and 6.96 (m, 10 H, C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 2.50 (q, 2 H, 7.5), 2.20 (q, 2 H, 7.7), 2.04 (s, 6 H), 2.01 (s, 6 H), 1.71 (s, 6 H), 1.66 (s, 6 H), 1.07 (t, 3 H, 7.5); 0.85 (t, 3 H, 7.5).

<sup>(10)</sup> Characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and FAB mass spectrometry

<sup>(10)</sup> Characterized by "H NMR and FAB mass spectrometry. (11) Compound 3 crystallized from pentane in the space group  $P_{2,1/n}$ , with cell dimensions a = 13.222 (3) Å, b = 16.312 (4) Å, c = 15.797 (2) Å, V = 3299 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $\beta = 104.48$  (2)°, Z = 4,  $\rho_{exp} = 1.47$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. for  $\pm h, -k, +l$  in the range 2.0° < 20 < 46.0°. These were averaged to ( $R_{av} = 0.017$ ). The structure, 4576 independent reflections, was solved by direct methods (SHELX), refined with use of 3489 intensities  $(I > 2.58\sigma(I))$  to R = 0.033 and  $R_w =$ 0.046

<sup>(12)</sup> For leading references on dithiolene chemistry, see: Alvarez, S.; Vicente, R.; Hoffmann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6253.

<sup>(13)</sup> The bonding of some dioxalene, azoxalene, and dithiolene chelates has been discussed in terms of both  $\sigma(\eta^2)$  and  $\pi(\eta^4)$  interactions: McMullen, A. K.; Rothwell, I. P.; Huffman, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 1072. Hofmann, P.; Frede, M.; Stauffert, P.; Lasser, W.; Thewalt, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 712. Giolando, D. M.; Rauchfuss, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 107, 6455

<sup>(14)</sup> Adams, R. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7476. For other examples of this type, see: Keijsper, J.; Polm, L.; van Koten, G.; Vrieze, K.; Nielson, E.; Stam, C. H. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2006. A review of 1,4-diazabutadiene complexes: van Koten, G.; Vrieze, K. Adv. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 21. 152.

<sup>(15)</sup> Hübener, F.; Weiss, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 129, 105.

 <sup>(1)</sup> Photoinduced reactions. 167.
 (2) (a) Davidson, R. S. Mol. Assc. 1979, 1, 215. (b) Lewis, F. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 152. (c) Weller, A. Pure Appl. Chem. 1982, 54, 1885.
 (d) Mataga, N. Radiat. Phys. Chem. 1983, 21, 83. (e) Calhoun, G.; Schuster, G. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6870. (f) Yang, N. C.; Gerald, R., II; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5531 and references therein therein.

<sup>(3) (</sup>a) Maroulis, A. J.; Shigemitsu, Y.; Arnold, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
(3) (a) Maroulis, A. J.; Shigemitsu, Y.; Arnold, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1978, 100, 535. (b) Pac, C.; Ihara, M.; Yasuda, M.; Miyaguchi, Y.; Sakurai, H. Ibid. 1981, 103, 6495. (c) Mizuno, K.; Ishi, M.; Otsuji, Y. Ibid. 1981, 103, 5570. (d) Lewis, F. D.; Devoe, R. J. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 1069. (e) Mariano, P. S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1983, 16, 130. (f) Mazzochi, P. H.; Wilson, P.; Khachik, F.; Kinger, L.; Minamikawa, S. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2981.
(c) Martine, L. Buerich, L. H. Bernhedt, T. Lu, C. Gordorf, I. L. A. Schult, S. S. Schult, C. Martine, L. Buerich, L. Bernhedt, T. Lu, C. Gordorf, I. L. M. Schult, S. S. Schult, S. Schult, S. S. Schult, S. Schult, S. S. Schult, S. Schul (g) Mattay, J.; Runsink, J.; Rambach, T.; Ly, C.; Gersdorf, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 2557. (h) Yasuda, M.; Yamashita, T.; Matsumoto, T.; Shima, K.; Pac, C. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3667. (i) Hashimoto, S.; Kirimoto, I.; Fujii, Y.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1427.